



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.				
10/796,458	03/09/2004	Roman Dubrovsky, Dec'd.	NJIT-3P	2605				
<div>7590 08/10/2007</div> <div>Roman Dubrovsky 4525 Henry Hudson Prkwy, Apt. B701 Bronx, NY 10471</div>								
<div>EXAMINER</div> <div>HENDRICKSON, STUART L</div>								
<table border="1"><thead><tr><th>ART UNIT</th><th>PAPER NUMBER</th></tr></thead><tbody><tr><td>1754</td><td></td></tr></tbody></table>					ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	1754	
ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER							
1754								
<table border="1"><thead><tr><th>MAIL DATE</th><th>DELIVERY MODE</th></tr></thead><tbody><tr><td>08/10/2007</td><td>PAPER</td></tr></tbody></table>					MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	08/10/2007	PAPER
MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE							
08/10/2007	PAPER							

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary	Application No. 10/796,458	Applicant(s) DUBROVSKY, DEC'D. ET AL.	
	Examiner Stuart Hendrickson	Art Unit 1754	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 12 January 2007.
- 2a) ☒ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1, 2 and 4-18 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) 7-17 is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1, 2, 4-6, 18 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- | | |
|--|---|
| 1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application |
| 3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____ | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____ |

Art Unit: 1754

1. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

2. Claims 1, 2, 4-6 and 18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Zettl et al. (6,063,243) taken with Withers et al. 5876684.

Claims 1, 4 & 6: Zettl teaches a process for making carbon nanoparticles (including tubes) by arc discharge including: a consumable and non-consumable electrode (Column 4, lines 10-11; Figure 1, element 21), a longitudinal inner channel through the electrodes (Figure 1, element 12), and areas for quenching and condensing. Zettl also teaches delivering gases such as helium and catalyst particles through the inner channel to the plasma zone (Column 3, lines 58-67). Zettl does not teach the claimed consumable electrodes (but does teach graphite in col. 1) Withers clearly teaches a pair of graphite electrodes to make fullerenes; see col. 5. Using them in the process of Zettl is an obvious expedient to make the desired product. Moving the electrodes as they are consumed is an obvious expedient to keep the process going.

Claim 2: While Zettl does not teach moving the consumable electrode towards the plasma zone, it would have been obvious to someone of ordinary skill in the art to do so in order to keep a preferred distance between the electrodes during the reaction. Note also Withers.

Claims 1 & 5: Zettl does not explicitly teach a radial buffer gas outflow. The structure of the Zettl apparatus at the plasma zone, however, appears to be the same as the applicants', and a similar flow pattern would be expected.

With regard to the flow pattern, the applicants' claims do not show a patentable difference to that of Zettl. The claim, in its broadest interpretation, encompasses the flow pattern of any gas flowing out of a center hole between two parallel surfaces.

Art Unit: 1754

Also of note in the claims, the term "radial buffer gas outflow" is not entirely clear. The figures show a single channel for flow, but the above term indicates a separate flow of the buffer gas. The outflow of gas would appear to include all of the feed materials, not just the buffer gas. Concerning claim 18, optimization of process parameters is an obvious expedient; In re Boesch 205 USPQ 215.

Applicant's arguments filed 1/12/07 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Concerning the restriction, the examiner never alleged that the process could be done by hand. Nor was the examiner required to. It is believed that the apparatus could, in fact, process gases. Withers has been added in response to the amendment. The claims are not limited to the features argued on pg. 14.

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire **THREE MONTHS** from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within **TWO MONTHS** of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the **THREE-MONTH** shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than **SIX MONTHS** from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to examiner Hendrickson at telephone number (571) 272-1351.



Stuart Hendrickson
examiner Art Unit 1754